Markers-traits association for iron toxicity tolerance in selected Indonesian rice varieties
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Abstract. Nugraha Y, Utami DW, Rosdianti I, Ardie SW, Ghulamahudi M, Savarnings, AswidianNor H. 2016. Markers-traits association for iron toxicity tolerance in selected Indonesian rice varieties. Biodiversitas 17: 753-763. Ferrous iron toxicity is a mineral disorder frequently occurring under flooded soils condition where rice is cultivated. Here we study identification the Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNPs) markers associated with iron toxicity tolerance characters. The phenotypical data was collected from exploiting for iron toxicity tolerance in selected Indonesian rice varieties. Biodiversitas 17: 753-763.

INTRODUCTION

Iron (Fe) toxicity is one of the most important yield-limiting abiotic stresses in flooded lowland rice of humid-tropic areas (Becker and Asch 2005). In Indonesia iron toxicity in rice mostly can be found in swampy-land of acid sulfate soil and acid-clay soil which was occupied about 1 million ha (Ismunadi 1991). The typical symptoms associated with iron toxicity is leaf discoloration (bronzing) and reddish spots (Ponnameruma et al. 1955). Yield losses associated with iron toxicity commonly range from 15% to 30%. However, in the case of severe toxicity occurs at the seedling stage, total crop failure can happen (Audebert and Sahrawat 2000). While some cultural practices have been suggested to counteract negative effects of Fe excess in soil solution such as water (Prasetyo et al. 2013), soil (Fageria et al. 2008), and nutrient (Ramirez et al. 2002) management strategies, however the most promising approach is to use tolerant genotypes.

Some rice genotypes have been identified as tolerant to iron toxicity, most of them were land races or local varieties which characterized as a photoperiod sensitive, taller plant high and low grain yield (Onaga et al. 2013; Suhartini and Makarim 2009). Introducing the traits of tolerant to iron toxicity from those varieties into the high yield popular varieties is the way to improved rice productivity in iron toxicity environment. Several study have been mapped on the rice genome related with traits involved in tolerance to Fe toxicity, under various environmental conditions and using different segregating populations issued from intra-specific populations (Dufey et al. 2009, 2012a; Shimizu 2009; Shimizu et al. 2005; Wan et al. 2003a, b; Wu et al. 1997, 1998; Wu et al 2014) or interspecific (Dufey et al. 2012b) crosses. These QTLs for traits directly or indirectly linked to iron toxicity tolerance have been located but challenges of confident genomic localization remain huge, and with several hundred genes involved, their use in breeding programs is difficult. The method for narrowing the QTL via the production of a very large recombinant population, but this method is time consuming, costly and, for small-effect QTLs with low heritability, difficult in practice (Northon et al. 2008).

Marker-traits association is an alternative approach, to identify DNA-markers which are located in or in the neighborhood of the genes of interest. The strategies to identify marker-trait association could be used natural
solution with addition 400 mg L⁻¹ of Fe²⁺ supplied as culture media solutions were replaced by new Yoshida followed 7 L of deionized water. After 14 days the pre-8×strength stock nutrient solution (Yoshida solution) were filled with pre-culture solution using 1 L of x 2 cm that fitted with 10-L plastic tray. Each sheet was transferred to sheet-holed styrofoam, sized 24 cm x 36 cm were used in this study. The germinated seeds were genotypes of known degree of tolerance of iron toxicity from a single plant of derived genotypes. The samples of (unknown ancestry) or breeding population (known ancestry) (Thomson 2014). Association analysis/association mapping (AM) (= linkage disequilibrium mapping) is a population-based survey used to identify trait-marker relationships based on linkage disequilibrium (LD). LD is defined as the nonrandom association of alleles at different loci in a population (Flint-Garcia et al. 2003). It is measured as the strength of correlation between polymorphisms (i.e., SNPs) caused by their shared history of recombination with phenotypic variations. More recently, AM studies have also been facilitated by the availability of high-throughput and low-cost next generation sequencing (NGS) platforms, so that much of the genotyping work can now be easily outsourced in a cost-effective manner. These NGS platforms are being extensively utilized for de novo development of markers and also for genotyping. In addition to single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) has been discovered in a number of crops (Edwards and Gupta 2013). For SNP genotyping, different methods have been developed, one of the method is the Golden Gate (GG) assays which is allow simultaneous genotyping of 96 to 3072 SNP loci in a fairly large collection of samples (up to 384 samples) in parallel (Gupta et al 2014). These assays are now becoming available in all major cereals including for rice (Utami et al 2013). To date, however has no report in regard exploring SNP using GG for development marker assisted selection in iron toxicity tolerance. Here we study the association analysis based on the SNPs marker developed using GG assay genotype data and phenotype data of the different level of Fe toxicity tolerance rice genotypes under the green house and the field experiment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Phenotypic data in the greenhouse experiment

The experiment was conducted in green house experimental station of Indonesian Center for Rice Research, Bogor from May to June 2014. Twenty-four rice genotypes of known degree of tolerance of iron toxicity were used in this study. The germinated seeds were transferred to sheet-holed styrofoam, sized 24 cm x 36 cm x 2 cm that fitted with 10-L plastic tray. Each sheet was consisted 100 holes with 2 cm x 3 cm spacing and each hole was used for growing one seedling. The plastic trays were filled with pre-culture solution using 1 L of 8×strength stock nutrient solution (Yoshida solution) followed 7 L of deionized water. After 14 days the pre-culture media solutions were replaced by new Yoshida solution with addition 400 mg L⁻¹ of Fe²⁺ supplied as FeSO₄ and a 0.2 % agar. Addition of agar was given to minimize oxidation of ferrous iron (Nugraha et al. 2016). The initial pH was adjusted at 5.5 (±0.2). The nutrient solution of control was the same as well as the first experiment. We did not replace nutrient solution until 10 days for final leaf bronzing scored and samples were harvested for further analysis. The leaf bronzing score were determined using scoring index scale, 1 (no bronzing symptom on the leaf) to 7 (the whole leaves were bronzing) (Shimizu et al. 2005). Ten sample plants were harvested. The shoot length was measured from the longest leaf to base of the shoot. The root length was measured from the longest root to base of the root. These samples were oven-dried at 70 °C for at least 3-days, for dry matter determinations and separated the root from the shoot. The relative value of shoot and root dry weight were determined by (dw under normal - dw under iron stress)/dw under normal.

The rest of samples were harvested for measuring iron root plaque and shoot iron content. The fresh root of entire roots was incubated in 2 M HCl in 50 mL plastic flask for 60 minutes. The extract was filtered and transferred into new flask for analysis. The shoot samples then were separated with the root and oven dried at 70°C for 3 days. The oven-dried shoot samples were ground and weighed 0.5 g into digestion tube. The sample were digested using 5 mL concentrate acid (HNO₃:HClO₄ = 3:1). On the following days, samples were heated on digestion block at 120°C for 24 hours. After the tube had cooled, the digest was transferred to 25 mL flask with deionized water. Iron plaque and shoot concentration were measured by atomic absorption spectrophotometry.

Phenotypic data in the field experiment

The experiment was conducted in experimental station of Indonesian Soil Research Institute Taman Bogo, Lampung Indonesia (05°02'S, 105°50'E), using the same 24 genotypes in the first experiment. Two plots were used for acute iron toxicity site and control iron toxicity site. Each plot was set out in the plots of 1 x 3 m² at a spacing 20 cm x 20 cm in a randomized complete block design with three replications. The average total of Fe in the soil concentration was 2030 mg.kg⁻¹ and 765 mg.kg⁻¹ for the acute and control, respectively. Standard agronomic practices for rice cultivation were followed, including plowing, harrowing, and flooding the soil throughout the season. No insecticide or pesticide was used; however, manual weeding was done at 3 and 5 weeks after transplanting. LBS was scored non-destructively at 4 and 6 weeks after transplanting for leaf bronzing using the SES developed by IRRI (IRRI 1996). The yield attributes were determined by randomly sampling 10 hills from each plot. Panicles were hand-threshed and the filled and unfilled spikelet were separated after drying them thoroughly under the sun. The subsamples were then oven-dried at 70°C to constant weights for determining 1000-grain weight and converted to t. ha⁻¹. Percentage of reduction was measured as trait performance under normal - trait performance under stress to iron toxicity divided with normal condition.

DNA extraction

We selected 18 rice genotypes representing the tolerance level and morphological features based on the principle component analysis. Rice leaves were collected from a single plant of derived genotypes. The samples of
fresh leaf 21-days-old rice seedling were placed in bead and grounded in a tissue-lyser following the manufacture instruction (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands). A minimum of 15 µl genomic DNA (50 ng µL-1) was required for the Golden Gate assay. DNA was stored in TE buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.5; 1 mM EDTA). DNA purity was determined by using the A260/A280 ratio of 1.8-2.0 (Sambrook dan Russell 2001).

**Custom design 384 SNP-chip**

The 384 SNP-chip was designed based on the genetic map several genes/ QTL associated with Fe toxicity tolerant character that has been characterized by a previous study (Utami et al. 2014). The SNPs set of GS0014316-OPA was selected for this study based on Golden Gate Vera Code oligo pool assay (OPA) sets for the Illumina Bead Xpress Reader. This SNPs multiplex previously was validated by Thomson et al. (2011) using population within indica and aus germplasm, and also informative for Indica/Aus populations which it has no call threshold of less than 0.25 and nearly more than >90% call frequency and <10% minor allele frequency.

The SNP sets were designed for the Illumina Golden Gate assay using multiplexes of 384 custom SNP panels. These custom Oligo Pool Assay (OPA) sets were then run on the Illumina platform which consists of an iSCAN reader with autoloader and Genome Studio analysis software which can be used with a variety of chemistries for genotyping based on Illumina Product Guide. The Golden Gate assay is an allele-specific oligo hybridization, ligation and extension assay followed by universal PCR amplification, allowing that no amplification bias can occur. These amplification products were then bond to the 3 µM microbeads and alleles were read by fluorescent readout using the iSCAN reader. The Genome Studio software from Illumina was used for allele clustering based on the ratio of the cy3/cy5 signal intensities to call the three genotype classes.

**Data analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed with SAS® version 9.1. For continuous data, we used analysis of variance (ANOVA) after verifying that the residuals met the criterion of normal distribution. When comparing up to three pre-determined means, we analyzed differences between means by Least Square Different. The green house and field experiment data were analyzed using principal component analysis (PCA) on the covariance matrix of traits. PCA analysis were performed using software tool Minitab 15. Association analysis between SNP markers and phenotypical data was tested using the General Linear Model (GLM) in the Tassel v. 3.0 software program (Bradbury et al. 2007). Values of the Q matrix obtained in Structure were presented as covariates. The P value determines whether a marker was associated with the marker and R² for a marker evaluates the magnitude of QTL effect to phenotypes. Further, dendrogram for clustering among genotypes were done using Tassel v. 3.0 using the selected-identified SNPs marker with probability more than 0.001.

---

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Phenotypic variation of rice genotypes under nutrient solution with iron-toxic stress**

In the green house experiment, we identified IPB107-5-1-1 and IR64 had the highest bronzing scores 5.3 and 5.2, respectively (Figure 1 and 2). The rice genotypes Siam Saba (2.8), Cilamaya Muncul (2.9) and Pokkali (3.0) were the lowest bronzing scores among all the tested genotypes (Figure 2). Exceed iron also inhibited growth and development of roots and shoot, which was indicated with greatly reduced the sensitive genotypes, IR64, by 75% and 48% (Table 1). Less reduction of shoot length and root length was observed in the tolerant genotypes like Siam Saba, by 82% and 68%. This genotypes also had less reduction of shoot dry weight along with Margasari, by 87% compared to the lowest loss of shoot dry weight IR64 and IPB107-5-1-1 (58%). All genotypes also showed reduction in root dry weight, but pronounced in IR64 by 30% from the normal condition. The less reduction of root dry weight was found in B13144-1-MR-2 (77%).

**Phenotypic variation of rice genotypes under natural iron-toxic stress**

The same 20 genotypes (four genotypes could not be planted because of poor germination in nursery) that screened in nutrient solution culture were grown and evaluated for iron-toxicity tolerance in a field in Taman Bogo Lampung (Indonesia), during the 2013 wet season. In the field, plants were not immediately subjected to iron toxicity upon transplanting, in contrast to plants grown in the greenhouse, where iron toxicity was imposed 5 days after they were established in nutrient solution. We observed leaf bronzing scores appearing at the 4-week stage in the field ranged from 3.0 to 7.5 under acute site and 3 to 6 under normal site (Figure 5). Siam Saba and Mahsuri had the least leaf bronzing symptoms while IR64, Inpara 5, Fatmawati, and IPB107-27 had the most leaf bronzing symptoms. During 6-week stage in the field leaf bronzing score of the most bronzing symptom genotypes became higher from the existing scores, suggesting more accumulation of iron during plant growth. Although Siam Saba was the less bronzing score, however we had no data for grain yield and its attributes due to photoperiod sensitive. This cultivar only can be flowering during August-September in the origin where this cultivar is grown in South Kalimantan.

Iron toxicity affected grain yield tiller number, 1000-grain weight and spikelet number and had interactive effect between genotypes and the iron site (Table 2). Significant different reduced of tiller number was also presented in this study. The sensitive cultivar, IR64 and Inpara5 had high tiller number under normal iron toxicity site but highly reduced up to 44% and 47% respectively under acute iron toxicity. We observed that there was no consistency in average of percentage reduced in 1000-grain weight and spikelet number among sensitive, tolerant, and normal genotypes. For example, Batu Tegi, a sensitive cultivar, showed less reduced 1000-grain weight (2%) while inpara2, a tolerant cultivar, had more reduced 1000-grain weight.
weight (13%) under acute iron toxicity condition. The grain yield of sensitive genotypes was most affected by iron toxicity. **Limboto** was the most less reduced the grain yield (0.5%) but under normal condition this cultivar was quit low also compared to average total genotypes both in stressed iron and normal condition.

### Table 1. LBS, Relative plant height, root length, shoot dry weight, and root dry weight of the rice genotypes under 400 mg. L\(^{-1}\) of Fe\(^{2+}\) for 10 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>Relative Shoot length</th>
<th>Relative Root length</th>
<th>Relative Shoot dw</th>
<th>Relative Root dw</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IR64</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>e-g</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>d-f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpara5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>c-g</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>e-f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatnawati</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>c-g</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batu Tegi</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>d-g</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>d-f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limboto</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>a-c</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>a-d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margasari</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>a-f</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indragiri</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>c-g</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>b-f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Tenggulang</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>d-g</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>a-d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siam Saba</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>a-f</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpara 2</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>c-g</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpara 3</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>e-c</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPB Dadahp 1R</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>c-g</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>b-f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPB Batola 5R</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>c-g</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>d-f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPB Batola 6R</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>c-f</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPB Kapuas 7R</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>e-g</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPB107F-5-1-1</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>d-g</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>d-f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pokkali</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>c-f</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahsuri</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>c-f</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>a-d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B13144-1-MR-2</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>a-c</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>a-e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B13100-2-MR-2</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>d-g</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>c-f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cilamay M</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>a-c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awan Kuning</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>a-f</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesir</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>a-f</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>a-d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapuas</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>a-f</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>a-c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Means followed by the same letters are not significantly different at 0.05 probability error of Duncan Multiple Range Test

**Figure 1.** Appearance of leaf bronzing of rice seedling after exposure by 400 mg. L of Fe\(^{2+}\) in nutrient media cultures for 10 days.
Principal component analysis described the phenotypic variation of the used genotypes for marker-traits association

Principal component analysis indicated that four principal components accounted for most of the variation of the genotypes and observed traits. The first four principal components accounted for 72% of the total variation. The principle component 1 (31.2%) had strong association with bronzing scores, meaning that the genotypes with high value of bronzing scores were in the same group which indicated as sensitive genotypes (IR64, Inpara 5 and IPB107-5-1-1) (Figure 3). The spikelet per panicles and 1000-grain weight were the most important contributors to PC2 (17.8%), which enabled grouping the genotypes of Fatmawati, IPB Dadahup 1R, IPB Batola 5R, IPB Kapuas 7R, and Batu Tegi.
The major traits that contributed to PC3 were different direction from PC1, indicating that those traits had strong association with tolerance to iron toxicity such as, total dry weight, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, relative shoot dry weight, relative root dry weight, relative tiller number and grain yield. The genotypes were in the same direction with PC3 were the tolerant genotypes with high biomass accumulation such as Pokkali, Inpara 2, B13144-1, and Cilamaya Muncul. Meanwhile the lower-right of quadrangle was the position of PC4, which indicated the tolerant genotypes with lower biomass accumulation in seedling stage (Mahsuri, Siam Saba, and Margasari). The other genotypes could not specify which assumed account about 28% of the total variation.

The major traits that contributed to PC3 were different direction from PC1, indicating that those traits had strong association with tolerance to iron toxicity such as, total dry weight, shoot dry weight, root dry weight, relative shoot dry weight, relative root dry weight, relative tiller number and grain yield. The genotypes were in the same direction with PC3 were the tolerant genotypes with high biomass accumulation such as Pokkali, Inpara 2, B13144-1, and Cilamaya Muncul. Meanwhile the lower-right of quadrangle was the position of PC4, which indicated the tolerant genotypes with lower biomass accumulation in seedling stage (Mahsuri, Siam Saba, and Margasari). The other genotypes could not specify which assumed account about 28% of the total variation.

**Association marker-traits using 384 SNPs**

The genotype profile of these samples was showed in FLAPJACK1.15.02 software (http://ics.hutton.ac.uk/ flapjack) overview (Figure 4). Genotype determination of 18 rice varieties was done by PCA analysis using the phenotypical data. We identified 7 and 4 SNPs markers which were significantly associated (P ≤0.0001) with the phenotype data (Table 3). TBGI380435 (P=0.00054) and id8001543 (P=0.00055) were associated with the leaf bronzing and relative shoot dry weight. While the SNP marker id1000223 (P=0.00022) was found associated with leaf bronzing both in the green house and field experiment. The power of this association also is described using Manhattan plot and quartile-quartile plot (QQ plot) which shows the same trend of expected value. The others SNPs markers were associated solely with phenotypical performance TBGI272458 (P=0.00075) and TBGI427500 (P=0.00075) in the greenhouse experiment for leaf bronzing and relative root length respectively and TBGI272458, TBGI427500 (P=0.00075) and id4010825 (P=0.00077) for leaf bronzing in the field. The list of selected significance SNPs markers was showed in Table 3.

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** The first two components in principle component analysis for determining the rice genotypes and traits to be used for associated marker-traits analysis. Note: BR1=Bronzing Score in the greenhouse; BR3=Bronzing Score in the field at 6-weeks-after planting; FeC= Shoot Fe concentration; PN=Panicle Number (Fe-tox in field); FT=Fertility (Fe-tox in field); TG=1000-grain weight (Fe-tox in field); RFT= Relative Fertility; RPT=Relative shoot length, BM= total dw; BA= Root dw; RBA=Relative root dw; PA=Root length; RPA=Relative root length; GY=grain yield; RGY=Relative grain yield; PT=Shoot length; FL=day to flowering; RFL=Relative day to flowering; SP=Spikelet number; RSP=Relative spikelet number; RTG=Relative 1000-grain weight.
Figure 4. The genotype profile of eighteen rice lines samples, particularly in one of the significant SNP locus, TBGU313277 which associated with leaf bronzing character and related with the Proline transporter candidate gene.

Table 3. SNP marker significantly associated with selected phenotypic character under Fe stress in the green house and field experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>Associated marker</th>
<th>CR²</th>
<th>Position Mbp (cM)</th>
<th>Marker probability</th>
<th>R² (%)</th>
<th>Candidate gene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Greenhouse</strong> experiment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf bronzing</td>
<td>TBGI380435</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14.45</td>
<td>5.46.10⁻⁴</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Heavy metal transport detoxification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative shoot dw</td>
<td>TBGI380435</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14.45</td>
<td>2.41.10⁻⁴</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Heavy metal transport detoxification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf bronzing</td>
<td>id1000223</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>2.28.10⁻⁴</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Expressed protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative shoot dw</td>
<td>id8001543</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>5.51.10⁻⁴</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>ATP Binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf bronzing</td>
<td>TBGI7272458</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>7.46.10⁻⁴</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Nuclear protein in pre-mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative root length</td>
<td>TBGI427500</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>7.70.10⁻⁴</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>F-Box domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Field</strong> experiment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf bronzing</td>
<td>id8001543</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>5.51.10⁻⁴</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>ATP Binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf bronzing</td>
<td>TBGU313277</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.62.10⁻⁴</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Proline transporter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative plant height</td>
<td>id4010825</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32.30</td>
<td>7.30.10⁻⁴</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Unknown protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf bronzing</td>
<td>id1000223</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>2.28.10⁻⁴</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Expressed protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf bronzing</td>
<td>TBGI132654</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>9.60.10⁻⁴</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Unknown protein</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: a, Chromosome number, b, Position of SNP marker in the chromosome

Discussion

Evaluation of rice genotypes against iron toxicity provided an insight into the genotypic differences associated with iron toxicity tolerance. Based on the analysis of study in greenhouse revealed that promising genotypes were Siam Saba, Cilamaya Muncul, Awan Kuning, B13144-1-MR-2, Margasari, Pokkali, Mahsuri, and Inpara 2 (Figure 2). The field experiment also indicated that the low-score LBS genotypes not always had high grain yield under normal condition, except for Inpara 2, Cilamaya Muncul and Mahsuri. Those out yielded genotypes in normal condition mostly are improved rice varieties, which have been released and tested in many locations including in iron toxic sites. The genotypes have been described tolerance in one site did not always had same result in the other iron toxicity site due to complexes environmental condition, such as low pH, nutrient starvation (Yamauchi 1989) and others nutrient toxicity such as Al, Mn, and Cd (Shamshuddin et al. 2013;
Breeding approaches to address iron toxicity are generally favor as they are high yield, tolerance to others biotic and abiotic stresses and accepted to farmer's preferences. This finding also indicated that the improved grain yield through improvement of tolerance to iron toxicity still hampered.

The PCA plot (Figure 3) showed a clear separation of highly susceptible accessions (IR64 and Inpara 5) from tolerant genotypes suggesting efficiency of the screening procedure in discriminating between the tolerance and sensitive genotypes. This method also clearly separating the genotypes based on the biomass, yield and its components. The high yield with tolerance to normal reaction to iron excess were located in the PC3, while tolerant local genotypes were located in the PC4. The position of iron concentration was in the upper-left quadrangle which was also near to tolerant genotypes like B13144 and Inpara2, meaning that the iron concentration relatively high in those genotypes. Meanwhile, in the opposite direction and farther from the iron concentration PC line was Pokkali, Mahsuri, Siam Saba, and Margasari. This result indicated that some tolerant genotypes able store the iron in the shoot, while the others tolerance excluded on the root surface. Other researchers reported the total amount of Fe accumulated in aboveground plant parts was not always related to leaf-symptom scores (Onaga et al. 2013). While other reported that vigorous growth genotypes, Pokkali has ability to dilute iron in the shoot minimizing detrimental effect of excess iron (Engle et al. 2012b).

Figure 5. Manhattan plot, log P-values of leaf bronzing in greenhouse experiment are plotted against physical map position of SNPs (A) and Quartile-quartile plot (QQ plot) determines how marker-traits association in greenhouse results compare to the expected results.
Choice of germplasm is critical to the success of association analysis (Flint-Garcia et al. 2003). Generally, plant populations amenable for association studies can be classified into five groups (Yu and Buckler 2006): (i) ideal sample with subtle population structure and familial relatedness, (ii) multi-family sample, (iii) sample with population structure, (iv) sample with both population structure and familial relationships, and (v) sample with severe population structure and familial relationships. In this study, we used 18 selected Indonesian rice genotypes, represented different features morphological as described in the PCA analysis both under greenhouse and field experiment to meet criteria plant populations above.

Seven characters were associated with the SNP markers (p<0.0001). Some of them over-lap with different markers for instance TBGI380435 and id8001543 SNPs over-lap with leaf bronzing and relative shoot dry weight and id1000223 was over-lap in different set experiments for leaf bronzing character. In the Table 1 presents the result of the greenhouse experiment, which are tolerant genotypes with high relative shoot dry weight (e.g. Mahsuri, Siam Saba, Cilamaya, and B13144-1-MR-2). Relationship between leaf bronzing and relative shoot dry weight was also reported by (Onaga et al. 2013). This relationship was also confirmed with strong association with the same SNPs markers. The SNP marker, TBGI380435 which located in chromosome 9 at 14.45 Mbp was mapped on the same position of heavy metal transport detoxification (HTDT) gene based on MSU rice SNPs data based (www.http://oryzasnp.plantbiology.msu.edu/TIGR Pseudomolecules v5). High probability of this gene is described with Manhattan Plot and QQ Plot (Figure 5).

Figure 6. Co-localization analysis of markers-traits association reported in this study with previously reported QTLs for leaf bronzing under Fe toxic condition in rice. QTLs were located on chromosomes based on the physical positions of flanking markers. One quadrate (in blue or white) represents 1 Mb. Stars represent the QTLs mapped in this study and arrows represent the QTLs from other previous reports. LBS, leaf bronzing, SDW, shoot dry weight, DHA, dehydrate ascorbate activity, RDW, root dry weight, PDW, panicle dry weight, GCL, growth cycle length, FR, fertility.

Note:
135 DH lines from Azucena/IR64 -nutrient solution, greenhouse at Zeijiang, China (Wu et al. 1998)
96 BC,F₀ lines from Nipponbare/Kasalath//Nipponbare - nutrient solution, greenhouse at Nanjing, China (Wan et al. 2003a)
66 CSSLs from Asominori/IR24 - nutrient solution, greenhouse at Nanjing, China, (Wan et al. 2003b)
F3 lines from Gimbozu/Kasalath- nutrient solution, greenhouse at Tokyo, Japan, (Shimizu 2009)
164 RILs from Azucena/IR64 -fields, Burkina Faso (Dufey et al. 2012a)
164 RILs from Azucena/IR64 - nutrient solution, phytotron, (Dufey et al. 2009)
40 RILs from Azucena/IR64 - nutrient solution, greenhouse in Belgium (Dufey et al. 2012a)
220 BC,F₀ lines from Azucena/IR64 - nutrient solution, greenhouse in Belgium (Dufey et al. 2012a)
220 BC,F₀ lines from interspecific cross MG12/Caiapo/Caiapo - nutrient solution, (Dufey et al. 2015).
121 RILs from IR29/Pokkali - nutrient solution, greenhouse (Wu et al. 2014)
Location of associated SNPs marker using 18 rice genotypes under hydroponic and field.
Previous study also reported some major QTL were located close to the HTDT position (Wan et al. 2003a; Dufey et al. 2012). The other gene that might be related to iron toxicity stress was proline transporter gene which was detected by SNPs marker TBGU313277 associated with leaf bronzing character in the field test experiment (Table 3). Majerus et al. (2007) reported that high iron treatment causing significant decreasing water potential in the lamina and increasing of proline concentrations in the iron-sensitive but not affected in tolerant genotypes. This suggested that there was the inability of the roots from sensitive genotypes to perform osmotic adjustment while the tolerant genotypes perform more efficient using proline to adjust the water deficit disturbance.

The information about the underlying gene expression under iron toxicity is lacking comparing with iron deficiency-related genes (Ishimaru et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2009; Nozoye et al. 2011; Kobayashi et al. 2012). Ricachenevky et al. (2010) reported using cDNA-RDA technique to isolate sequences up-regulated by Fe-excess in shoots of rice plants and found that OsWRK180 was up-regulated by Fe excess. Majerus et al. (2009) reported using mRNA accumulation of OsFer2 induced as early as 24 h after the beginning of the Fe treatment in sheaths. Stein et al. (2009) found that excess iron treatment led to accumulate mRNA of OsFer2. A micro-array analysis was performed by Quinet et al. (2012) indicating differential gene regulation between short- and long-term responses to excess Fe, and between genes of the same family, highlighted the complexity of plant response and the multi-genic nature of this trait. Recently, Utami and Hanarida (2014) reported based on association analysis, among the three SNP markers, OsIRT1 was the most significant SNP marker (P value = 0.01) which correlated to Fe toxicity tolerant on vegetative stage. Hence, this study is the first report that the iron toxicity tolerance in rice was associated to HTDT gene with high (P < 0.0001).

The rest of the identified genes in this study were not been elucidated or related directly in the tolerance of iron toxicity (eg. ATP Binding protein, Nuclear protein in pre-mRNA, F-Box domain). However, the position of QTLs of iron toxicity tolerance which were reported previously was coincidently near to this trait-marker association study (Figure 6). SNP markers id1000223 was located in chromosome no 1 between 4 Mbp (Wan et al. 2003a) and 5 Mbp (Dufey et al. 2012). TBGI132654 was located on 5.59 chromosome no 1 between 4 Mbp (Wan et al. 2003a; Dufey et al. 2015). This study also supported with other reports there are multitude of small effect QTLs underlines the concept of multiple tolerance mechanisms. Furthermore, highlighting the positions of reliable QTLs and association mapping helping to narrow the target candidate regions for marker-assisted selection.

We conclude that the phenotypical performance in the greenhouse and field experiment based on Principle Component analysis were clearly discriminated by PCA analysis. These variations were associated with SNPs marker using illumina bead chip array, resulting a number of genes related to tolerance of Fe stresses. Some of these gene co-localized with previously reported QTL that were mapped under various crossing population and Fe stress. SNPs markers, TBGI380435 was related to heavy metal transport detoxification and TBGU313277 was related proline transporter, probably associate with tolerance to iron toxicity in rice.
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